

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
9 Plaintiff,
10 v.
11 FRED FRINK,
12 Defendant.

Case No. CR12-262RSL

ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION

12 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's "Motion for Reconsideration
13 of Denial of Motion for an Order to Show Cause" (Dkt. # 59). Defendant argues that the
14 Court failed to consider his arguments regarding the Court's authority to enter an order
15 addressing a non-party's conduct. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored in this
16 district and will be granted only upon a "showing of manifest error in the prior ruling"
17 or "new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to [the Court's]
18 attention earlier with reasonable diligence." CR 7(h)(1). Defendant has not met this
19 burden.

20 The Court's order gave Defendant's arguments regarding the Court's authority all
21 the consideration they deserved. Plaintiff has not shown manifest error or the existence
22 of new facts or legal authority. The motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 59) is, therefore,
23 DENIED.

1
DATED this 17th day of December, 2012.
2
3
4

Robert S. Lasnik

5 Robert S. Lasnik
6 United States District Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

ORDER DENYING MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION - 2